Coumarins Isolated from *Angelica gigas* Inhibit Acetylcholinesterase: Structure-Activity Relationships

So Young Kang, Ki Yong Lee, Sang Hyun Sung, Mi Jung Park, and Young Choong Kim* College of Pharmacy, Seoul National University, Seoul, 151-742, Korea

Received September 14, 2000

Acetylcholinesterase (AChE) inhibitory activity—guided fractionation of *Angelica gigas* led to isolation and identification of a new coumarin, peucedanone (**12**), and isolation of 11 known coumarins. Among them, decursinol (**1**) represented the highest inhibitory activity toward AChE in vitro. The correlation of the inhibitory activities of the coumarins toward AChE with their chemical structures was studied.

According to the cholinergic hypothesis of the pathogenesis of Alzheimer's disease (AD), memory impairments in AD patients result from a deficit of cholinergic functions in the brain. One promising therapeutic strategy for activating central cholinergic functions has been the use of inhibitors of AChE, the enzyme responsible for the metabolic hydrolysis of ACh. Hypothetically, inhibitors of AChE should increase the efficiency of cholinergic transmissions by preventing the hydrolysis of released ACh, thus making more ACh available at the cholinergic synapse.^{1–3}

As part of our continuing research seeking AChE inhibitory components from natural resources, 4,5 we recently found that the methanolic extract of the underground part of Angelica gigas Nakai (Umbelliferae) significantly inhibited AChE activity. This plant has been used traditionally in Korean herbal medicine under the Korean names "Zam Dang Gui" not only for the treatment of anemia but also as a sedative, an anodyne, or a tonic agent. 6,7 To date, only a few reports on the biological activities of coumarins from A. gigas⁸⁻¹⁰ are available. In the present study, we attempted to isolate AChE inhibitory components of A. gigas by subsequent bioactivity-guided fractionations. As a result, we isolated and identified a new coumarin, peucedanone (12), and 11 known coumarins (1-11) from the methanolic extract of the underground part of A. gigas. In addition, we studied the structure-activity relationships of isolated coumarins as AChE inhibitors.

The methanolic extract of the underground part of *A*. gigas was found to exhibit a significant inhibitory activity against AChE (42.1% inhibition at a concentration of 100 μ g/mL; $P \le 0.001$). The methanolic extract was suspended in H₂O and partitioned with CH₂Cl₂. At a concentration of $100 \,\mu\text{g/mL}$, AChE inhibition of the two fractions was 19.7% for the aqueous fraction (P < 0.01) and 49.3% for the CH_2Cl_2 fraction (P < 0.001). Subsequent activity-guided fractionation of the CH₂Cl₂ fraction by silica gel column chromatography followed by HPLC using an RP-18 column yielded compounds 1, 2, and 3. The MS, UV, IR, and ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of **1**, **2**, and **3** corresponded closely with those data already reported for decursinol (1),11,12 marmesin (2),13 and xanthotoxin (3),14,15 respectively. AChE inhibitory assays gave IC₅₀ values for compounds 1, 2, and **3** of 2.8×10^{-5} , 6.7×10^{-5} , and 5.4×10^{-5} M, respectively. Since all of these active compounds were coumarin derivatives, we promptly attempted to search for other structurally diverse coumarins that had been reported in this

plant^{7,11,12} in order to clarify the relationship between these coumarin derivatives and AChE inhibitory activity. Compounds $\bf 4-12$ were isolated from the fractions derived from the initial CH₂Cl₂ fraction by silica gel column chromatographies. Spectral data of compounds $\bf 4-11$ matched those of 7-demethylsuberosine ($\bf 4$),^{15,16} umbelliferone ($\bf 5$),¹⁵ isoimperatorin ($\bf 6$),¹⁷ xanthyletin ($\bf 7$),^{15,18} 7-methoxy-5-prenyloxy-coumarin ($\bf 8$),¹⁹ decursin ($\bf 9$),^{11,12} 7-hydroxy-6-(2-($\bf R$)-hydroxy-3-methylbut-3-enyl)coumarin ($\bf 10$),²⁰ and nodakenin ($\bf 11$).²¹ This is the first report of compounds $\bf 2$, $\bf 3$, $\bf 6-\bf 8$, and $\bf 10$ from $\bf A$. $\bf gigas$.

Compound **12** was obtained as a pale yellowish prism. The molecular formula $C_{14}H_{14}O_5$ was established by HREIMS, m/z 262.0834 [M]⁺ (calcd for $C_{14}H_{14}O_5$, m/z 262.0837). The presence of 7-hydroxy-6-substituted cou-

^{*} Correspondence should be addressed to: San 56-1, Shillim-Dong, Kwanak-Gu, Seoul, 151-742, Korea. Tel: 82-2-880-7842. Fax: 82-2-888-2933. E-mail: youngkim@plaza.snu.ac.kr.

Table 1. AChE Inhibition by Coumarins Isolated from A. gigas

compound	IC ₅₀ (M)
1	$2.8 imes 10^{-5}$
2	$6.7 imes 10^{-5}$
3	$5.4 imes10^{-5}$
4	$2.4 imes 10^{-3}$
5	$2.9 imes 10^{-2}$
6	$6.9 imes 10^{-5}$
7	$1.5 imes10^{-4}$
8	$2.4 imes10^{-4}$
9	$3.9 imes 10^{-4}$
10	$1.3 imes10^{-4}$
11	$6.8 imes10^{-5}$
12	$1.8 imes 10^{-4}$

marin was indicated from the UV absorptions at 224, 316, and 339 nm,²² IR bands at 1713 and 1619 cm⁻¹, and the typical AB-type signals at δ 6.18 and 7.84 (each 1H, d, J= 9.51 Hz) for H-3 and H-4, respectively, and two singlet aromatic protons at δ 7.30 and 6.71 in the ¹H NMR spectrum. All carbon resonances were fully assigned by ¹³C NMR, ¹H-¹H COSY, ¹³C-¹H COSY, and HMBC spectra. In the HMBC spectrum, the C-1' methylene protons (δ 4.01) showed long-range heteronuclear interactions with the C-5, C-6, C-7, and C-2' carbons (δ 132.3, 122.4, 161.3, and 215.0). The C-2' carbonyl carbon (δ 215.0) showed correlation with the C-1' methylene proton (δ 4.01) and two methyl protons (δ 1.38). From the spectroscopic data above, 12 was identified as 7-hydroxy-6-(3-hydroxy-3-methyl-2-oxobutyl)coumarin, a new 7-oxocoumarin that we have designated peucedanone.23

Compounds 4-12 were tested for inhibitory activity against AChE. The IC50 values of the 12 coumarins including decursinol (1), marmesin (2), and xanthotoxin (3) are compared in Table 1. As shown in Table 1, among the 12 isolated coumarins, decursinol (1) was the most potent in inhibiting AChE, and both isoimperatorin (6) and nodakenin (11) were also found to be active in inhibiting AChE. The degree to which coumarins inhibited AChE differed depending on the characteristics of cyclization of the isoprenyl (IP) unit at C-6 and the functional groups attached to the coumarin nucleus. While decursinol (1), a dihydropyranocoumarin, was a potent inhibitor of AChE $(IC_{50} = 2.8 \times 10^{-5} \text{ M})$, the three furanocoumarins, marmesin (2), xanthotoxin (3), and isoimperatorin (6), which differ structurally from decursinol (1) in the form of cyclization of the IP unit at C-6, were less potent inhibitors of AChE (IC₅₀ = 6.7×10^{-5} , 5.4×10^{-5} , and 6.9×10^{-5} M, respectively). Also, nodakenin (11), a furanocoumarin glycoside, showed inhibitory activity (IC₅₀ = 6.8×10^{-5} M) similar to the furanocoumarins noted above. This suggests that the glucose moiety of nodakenin had little affect in inhibiting AChE. Interestingly, decursin (9), which is structurally analogous to decursinol (with an oxygenated IP unit instead of a free hydroxyl group at C-3'), was a poor inhibitor (IC₅₀ = 3.9×10^{-4} M). Xanthyletin (7), a pyranocoumarin without a free hydroxy group at C-3', was also inactive (IC₅₀ = 1.5×10^{-4} M). From these results, we postulate that the existence of a free hydroxyl group at C-3' is important in the inhibition of AChE by pyranocoumarins. It appears that most simple coumarins (without a cyclized IP unit at C-6, e.g., 4, 5, 8, 10, and 12) are much less active inhibitors of AChE than coumarins with a cyclized IP unit. However, as shown in the cases of 7-hydroxy-6-(2-(R)hydroxy-3-methylbut-3-enyl)coumarin (10) and peucedanone (12), oxygenated IP units could enhance the AChE inhibitory activity. As most of the coumarins tested have only modest to slight inhibitory activity against AChE, we suggest that the coumarin skeleton containing a pyrone

moiety plays an important role in the inhibitory activity against AChE. This finding is consistent with previous independent work that showed that (i) a simple coumarin derivative, 3-choloro-7-hydroxy-4-methylcoumarin, bound to the peripheral site of AChE and inhibited AChE activity 24,25 and that (ii) an intact pyrone is necessary for inhibiting AChE activity. 26

We have shown that the methanolic extract of the underground part of *A. gigas* significantly inhibits AChE activity. Various coumarins isolated from the extract are responsible for the significant AChE inhibitory activity. A dihydropyranocoumarin, decursinol (1), was found to be the most potent inhibitor of AChE. Furthermore, the structure—activity relationships among the coumarins can provide useful information on the interaction between AChE and its ligand. The mechanism responsible for the inhibitory effect of decursinol (1) on AChE in vitro and whether this compound has an anti-amnestic effect are now being studied in our laboratory.

Experimental Section

General Experimental Procedures. ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were run on a JEOL GSX 400 spectrometer at 400 and 100 MHz, respectively, with TMS as internal standard. FT-IR spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer 1710 spectrophotometer. UV spectra were recorded on a Shimadzu UV-2100 spectrophotometer. EIMS spectra were obtained on a VG Trio II spectrometer, and FABMS spectra were obtained on a VG 70-VSEQ mass spectrometer with direct inlet system using PEG 600/glycerol as a matrix. High-resolution mass spectral analyses were obtained on a JEOL JMS AX 505 WA spectrometer. Column chromatography was performed on Merck (9025) silica gel 60 (0.04-0.063 mm). Analytical TLC was performed on precoated Merck F₂₅₄ silica gel plates and visualized by spraying with anisaldehyde-H₂SO₄. An HPLC system (Hitachi L-6200, Japan) equipped with a UV-visible detector and Microsorb C₁₈ semipreparative column (Rainin Inst. Co.) was used for isolation.

Plant Material. The underground parts of *A. gigas* were purchased in a local market for Oriental medicine in Chechon, Chung-Buk, Korea, in 1998. The plant was authenticated by Dr. Dae S. Han, Professor Emeritus, College of Pharmacy, Seoul National University. Voucher specimens (SNUPH-0415) have been deposited in the Herbarium of the College of Pharmacy, Seoul National University.

Extraction and Isolation. The dried underground part (5 kg) of *A. gigas* was extracted with methanol in an ultrasonic apparatus. Upon removal of solvent in vacuo, the methanolic extract yielded 350 g. This methanolic extract was then suspended in H_2O and partitioned successively with CH_2Cl_2 . Silica gel column chromatography of the CH₂Cl₂ fraction (220 g) with a mixture of n-hexane-CHCl3-MeOH as eluent afforded seven fractions (fractions 1-7). Fraction 4 (9.3 g), the fraction with the highest anti-AChE activity, was subjected to silica gel column chromatography with an *n*-hexanes-EtOAc-MeOH mixture and yielded 10 subfractions (fractions 4-1-4-10). Among the 10 subfractions, the most active subfraction, fraction 4-9 (700 mg), yielded compounds 1 (120 mg), 2 (170 mg), and 3 (10 mg) by additional purification steps on RP-18 HPLC (H₂O-AcCN, 80:20). Compounds 4 (710 mg) and **5** (42 mg) were precipitated from fractions 4-3 and 4-5 upon standing, respectively. After silica gel column chromatography of fraction 2 (8.6 g) with an *n*-hexanes-EtOAc mixture, compound 6 (85 mg) was obtained. Compounds 7 (8 mg) and 8 (6 mg) were isolated through additional silica gel column chromatography of fraction 2 with *n*-hexanes-EtOAc and RP-18 HPLC (H₂O-MeOH-AcCN, 5:70:25 and 15:65:20, respectively). About 45 g of compound 9 was obtained in a crude state from fraction 3 and purified by recrystallization with EtOH. Compound 10 (3 mg) was isolated through silica gel column chromatography of fraction 5 (1.5 g) with an n-hexanes-

EtOAc-MeOH mixture and then RP-18 HPLC (H2O-MeOH-AcCN, 35:60:5). The silica gel column chromatography of fraction 6 (15.0 g) with a CHCl₃-acetone-MeOH mixture yielded compounds 11 (914 mg) and 12 (22 mg).

Compound 12: pale yellowish prism (from CHCl₃); $[\alpha]_D$ $+4.8^{\circ}$ (CHCl₃–MeOH, 1:4, 0.5); UV λ_{max} (log ϵ) 339 (5.10), 316 (5.11), and 224 (5.12) nm; IR ν_{max} (KBr) 3340, 1713, 1618, 1394, 1145, 1044, 827 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CD₃OD) 6.18 (1H, d, J = 9.51 Hz, H-3), 7.84 (1H, d, J = 9.51 Hz, H-4), 7.30 (1H, s, H-5), 6.71 (1H, s, H-8), 4.01 (2H, s, H-1'), 1.38 (6H, s, H-4'N and 5'); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CD₃OD) 164.4 (C-2), 112.8 (C-3), 146.5 (C-4), 132.3 (C-5), 122.4 (C-6), 161.3 (C-7), 103.5 (C-8), 156.5 (C-9), 113.3 (C-10), 38.8 (C-1'), 215.0 (C-2'), 78.7 (C-3'), 27.6 (C-4' and C-5') ppm; EIMS m/z (rel int) 262 [M]⁺ (30), 219 (26), 204 (27), 201 (11), 176 (100), 175 (82), 147 (19); HREIMS, m/z 262.0834 [M]⁺ (calcd for $C_{14}H_{14}O_5$, m/z 262.0837).

Determination of AChE Activity. AChE activity was determined by the modified method of Ellman et al.^{4,5,27}

Acknowledgment. This work was supported in part by a research grant (HMP-98-D-6-0053) from the Ministry of Health and Welfare of Korea and NCCAM Grant No. 1 RO1-AT00106-02.

References and Notes

- (1) Perry, E. K. Br. Med. Bull. 1986, 42, 63-69.
- (2) Bartus, R. T.; Dean, L. D., III; Beer, B.; Lippa, A. S. Science 1982, 217, 408-417.
- (3) Benzi, G.; Morreti, A. Eur. J. Pharmacol. 1998, 346, 1–13.
 (4) Kim, S. R.; Hwang, S. Y.; Jang, Y. P.; Park, M. J.; Markelonis, G. J.; Oh, T. H.; Kim, Y. C. Planta Med. 1999, 65, 218–221.
- (5) Hwang, S. Y.; Jang, Y. P.; Byun, S. J.; Jeon, M. H.; Kim, Y. C. Kor. *J. Pharmacogn.* **1996**, *27*, 91–95.
- (6) Han, D. S. Pharmacognosy, 4th ed.; Dongmyungsa Press: Seoul, 1992; pp 201-202.

- (7) Jung, D. J.; Porzel, A.; Huneck, S. Phytochemistry 1991, 30, 710-
- (8) Ahn, K. S.; Sim, W. S.; Kim, I. H. Planta Med. 1996, 62, 7-9.
- (9) Ahn, K. S.; Sim, W. S.; Lee, I. K.; Seu, Y. B.; Kim, I. H. Planta Med. **1997**, 63, 360-361
- (10) Bae, E.; Han, M. J.; Kim, N. J.; Kim, D. H. Biol. Pharm. Bull. 1998, 21. 990-992.
- (11) Ryu, K. S.; Y. C. S. Yakhakhoeji 1967, 11, 22-26.
- (12) Konoshima, M.; Chi, H. J.; Hata, K. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1968, 16, 1139 - 1140
- (13) Saiki, Y.; Morinaga, K.; Okegawa, O.; Sakai, S.; Amaya, Y.; Ueno,
- A.; Fukushima, S. *Yakugaku Zasshi*. **1971**, *91*, 1313–1317. (14) Elgamal, M. H.; Elewa, N. H.; Elkhrisy, E. A. M.; Duddeck, H. *Phytochemistry* **1979**, *18*, 139–143..
- (15) Steck, W.; Mazurek, M. Lloydia 1972, 35, 418-439.
- (16) Braz Filho, R.; Farias Magalhaes, A.; Gottlieb, O. R. Phytochemistry 1972, 11, 3307-3310.
- (17) Woo, W. S.; Lee, C. K.; Shin, K. H. Planta Med. 1982, 45, 234-236.
- (18) Kumar, V.; Niyaz, N. M. M.; Saminathan, S.; Wickramaratne, D. B.
- M. Phytochemistry 1998, 49, 215–218. (19) Ito, C.; Fujiwara, K.; Kajita, M.; Ju-Ichi, M.; Takemura, Y.; Suzuki, Y.; Tanaka, K.; Omura, M.; Furukawa, H. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1991, *39*, 2509–2513.
- (20) Ahsan, M.; Gray, A. I.; Leach, G.; Waterman, P. G. Phytochemistry **1994**, 36, 777-780.
- (21) Kim, S. H.; Kang, S. S.; Kim, C. M. Arch. Pharm. Res. 1992, 15, 73-
- (22) Murray, R. D. H.; Mendez, J.; Brown, S. A. The natural coumarins; occurrence, chemistry and biochemistry, John Wiley and Sons: New York, 1982; pp 27-31.
- (23) Ikeshiro, Y.; Mase, I.; Tomita, Y. Phytochemistry 1994, 35, 1339-
- (24) Radic, Z.: Reiner, E.: Simeon, V. Biochem, Pharmacol. 1984, 33, 671–
- (25) Simeon-Rudolf, V.; Kovarik, Z.; Radic, Z. Chem. Biol. Interact. 1999, *119*–*120*, 119–128.
- (26) Peng, F. C. J. Nat. Prod. 1995, 58, 857-862.
- Ellman, G. L.; Courtney, K. D.; Andres, V.; Featherstone, R. M. Biochem. Pharmacol. 1961, 7, 88-95.

NP000441W